

		Frontal Offset Crash Test Performance							REAR CRASH PROTECTION
		OVERALL EVALUATION	Structure/ Safety Cage	Injury Measures			Restraints/ Dummy Kinematics		
				Head/ Neck	Chest	Leg/Foot Left, Right			
Large family cars									
NEWLY TESTED	<b>BEST PICK frontal</b>	<b>CHRYSLER 300</b> front: 2005 models test vehicle = 3,726 lbs. rear: 2005 models	G	G	G	G	G	G	<b>A</b> 300
		<b>DODGE CHARGER</b> front: 2006 models							
NEWLY TESTED	<b>BEST PICK frontal</b>	<b>FORD FIVE HUNDRED</b> <b>MERCURY MONTEGO</b> front: 2005 models test vehicle = 3,660 lbs. rear: 2005 models	G	G	G	G	G	G	to be tested later in 2005
NEWLY TESTED		<b>KIA AMANTI</b> front: 2004-05 models test vehicle = 4,090 lbs. rear: 2004-05 models	G	A	G	G	G	A	<b>A</b>
		<b>FORD CROWN VICTORIA</b> <b>MERCURY GRAND MARQUIS</b> front: 2003-05 models (mfg. after May 2003) test vehicle = 4,392 lbs. rear: 2003-05 models Tested vehicle: Lincoln Town Car	G	G	G	G	A	G	<b>A</b>
	<b>BEST PICK frontal</b>	<b>FORD TAURUS</b> <b>MERCURY SABLE</b> front: 2000-05 models test vehicle = 3,333 lbs. rear: 2004-05 models	G	G	G	G	G	G	<b>M</b> Taurus <b>P</b> Sable
NEWLY TESTED	<b>BEST PICK frontal</b>	<b>BUICK LACROSSE</b> front: 2005 models test vehicle = 3,532 lbs. rear: 2005 models	G	G	G	G	G	G	<b>P</b>
		<b>PONTIAC GRAND PRIX</b> front: 2004-05 models rear: 2004-05 models							

continues on next page ▶

Note: order of vehicles reflects performance in frontal and rear tests

**G** GOOD      **A** ACCEPTABLE      **M** MARGINAL      **P** POOR

**Caution: Frontal crash test ratings cannot be compared across vehicle type and weight categories.** This is because the kinetic energy involved in the frontal test depends on the speed and weight of the test vehicle, and the crash is more severe for heavier vehicles. Given equivalent frontal ratings for heavier and lighter vehicles, the heavier vehicle typically will offer better protection in real-world crashes.

		Frontal Offset Crash Test Performance							REAR CRASH PROTECTION	
		OVERALL EVALUATION	Structure/ Safety Cage	Injury Measures			Restraints/ Dummy Kinematics			
Large family cars				Head/ Neck	Chest	Leg/Foot Left, Right				
NEWLY TESTED	<b>BEST PICK frontal</b>	<b>TOYOTA AVALON</b> front: 2005 models test vehicle = 3,589 lbs. rear: 2005 models	G	G	G	G	G	A	G	P
	<b>BEST PICK frontal</b>	<b>BUICK LESABRE PONTIAC BONNEVILLE</b> front: 2000-05 models (mfg. after April 1999) test vehicle = 3,558 lbs. rear: 2003-05 models	G	G	A	G	G	G	G	P
		<b>CHEVROLET IMPALA</b> front: 2000-05 models test vehicle = 3,448 lbs. rear: 2001-05 models	G	G	G	G	A	A	G	P
		<b>HYUNDAI XG300/XG350</b> front: 2001-05 models test vehicle = 3,739 lbs. rear: XG350 2002-05 models	G	A	G	G	G	A	G	P
		<b>BUICK CENTURY</b> front: 1997-2005 models test vehicle = 3,466 lbs. rear: 2001-05 models  Tested vehicle: 1997 Pontiac Grand Prix	A	A	A	G	G	A	G	P

end of large family cars attachment

Note: order of vehicles reflects performance in frontal and rear tests

**G** GOOD      **A** ACCEPTABLE      **M** MARGINAL      **P** POOR

**Caution: Frontal crash test ratings cannot be compared across vehicle type and weight categories.** This is because the kinetic energy involved in the frontal test depends on the speed and weight of the test vehicle, and the crash is more severe for heavier vehicles. Given equivalent frontal ratings for heavier and lighter vehicles, the heavier vehicle typically will offer better protection in real-world crashes.